September 4, 1992 UUPC/extended 1.11v Summary of known problems in

Revision

This document applies to UUPC/extended version 1.11v, and was last updated on 4 September, 1992 by Drew Derbyshire.

Introduction

This file summarizes the list of known bugs, quirks, and missing features in UUPC/extended version 1.11v.

Unfixed Bugs and Unsupported Function Summary

The refresh option of UUSTAT doesn't actually update the file times.

Mail incorrectly parses an return address with a quoted exclamation point, such as:

"Smarter than the average bear!" <snuffles@kendra.kew.com>

In MAIL, the reply command gets confused by mixed mode addresses.

If compiled under Microsoft C, UUCICO exhibits inconsistent results when compared to the original Borland C++ version, specifically failing to terminate as gracefully when Cntrl-Break is hit.

DECUS UUCP 1.1 won't exchange protocol information with UUPC/extended. A fix for DECUS UUCP 1.1 was posted to the net, and the bug is also fixed in version 1.2.

There is no working UUX command. (We're working on this one.)

The users STILL hate the lack of documentation. (We're working on this, too.)

Hitting Ctrl-Break when UUCICO is executing in passive mode will sometimes cause the system to branch to the TwiByte Zone.

Some editors, like EDLIN, cause the signature file to be lost because the editor appends a ^Z (Control-Z) to the file.

UUPC/extended forces communications to No Parity, 8 data bits, 1 stop bit, no flow control.

September 4, 1992 UUPC/extended 1.11v Summary of known problems in

Note: This is a requirement of the UUCP "g" protocol, which requires a transparent data line, and thus is a permanent restriction for "g" protocol connections.

UUCICO has a flaky "f" protocol module. Honestly, we're not sure if it works or not.

The list of RFC-822 headers which are suppressed by the print command cannot be altered by the user; they are displayed in an all or nothing fashion.

UUPC/extended will not compile with versions of Borland productions previous to Borland C++ 3.0. This is a permanent restriction, and will not be corrected.

Input to the program RMAIL in local address mode (-t option) must be in a specific format. It should accept any valid RFC-822 input. When sending mail, headers cannot be edited once entered.

RMAIL does not bounce failed mail back to the sender.

There is no 'Replyall' command to reply to all interested parties to a message.

Entering '1' should print it if the option 'autoprint' is set. Currently, it doesn't.

UUPC/extended doesn't support a news reader.

The user cannot configure which header lines are use by mail determining From or Replyto addresses.

Lastly, this list is missing some bugs.

Bug Reports, Program Fixes, and other contributions

Please send new bug reports, suggestions, fixes, and enhancements to Drew Derbyshire at help@kew.com.